REMARKS

In the subject Office Action, the examiner rejected Claims 1-4 under 35 USC 103(a) over Palfy et al., but indicated that Claims 5-7 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of base Claim 1. Applicant requests reconsideration of his application in view of this response, which cancels allowable Claim 5, and amends Claims 1 and 6.

Rejected Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of allowable Claim 5 (now canceled), thereby rendering Claim 1 allowable, along with dependent Claims 2-4. Allowable Claim 6 was amended to depend from Claim 1 instead of Claim 5. Accordingly, Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are believed to be in condition for allowance, and such allowance is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick M. Griffin, Attorney
Registration No. 29716
Telephone: (248) 813-1215